

1 Minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council held July
2 27, 1988 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, Bountiful, Utah.

3
4 Present: Mayor: Dean S. Stable
5 Council Members: C. Harold Shafter, Barbara Holt,
6 Phyllis C. Southwick, Bob
7 Gramoll, and H. Keith Barton
8 City Manager: Tom Hardy
9 City Engineer: Jack P. Balling
10 City Prosecutor: Russell Mahan
11 City Recorder: Arden F. Jenson
12 City Treasurer: Ira H. Todd
13 Rec. Secretary: Nancy T. Lawrence
14 Dept. Heads: Mark McRae, Information Systems
15 Dick Duncan, Streets/Sanitation
16 Jerry Lemon, Fire
17

18 Absent: Planning Director: Jon Reed Boothe
19

20 Official notice of this meeting had been given by posting a
21 written notice of same and an Agenda at the City Hall and providing
22 copies to the following newspapers of general circulation: Deseret
23 News, Davis County Clipper, and Salt Lake Tribune.
24

25 Mayor Stahle called the meeting to order and led the pledge of
26 allegiance to the flag, following which the invocation was offered
27 by Mr. Jenson.
28

29 Minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council held June
30 20, 1988 were presented and unanimously approved as corrected on a
31 motion made by Councilman Shafter and seconded by Councilwoman Holt.
32

33 **EXPENDITURE AND EXPENSE REPORT FOR**
34 **PERIOD JULY 12-19, 1988 APPROVED**

35 Mr. Jenson presented the Expenditure and Expense Report for the
36 Period July 12-19, 1988 in the amount of \$343,664.43. These
37 expenditures were discussed briefly, following which they were
38 approved on a motion made by Councilman Barton and seconded by
39 Councilwoman Southwick. Voting was unanimous.
40

41 **REQUEST TO RENAME 1375 EAST STREET**
42 **TO THUNDER MOUNTAIN ROAD DISCUSSED**

43 Mayor Stahle reviewed that a request from Mark Eubank to rename
44 1375 East Street to Thunder Mountain Road had been considered in the
45 City Council meeting held July 13, 1988. At that meeting, a motion
46 was made and seconded to approve the request; however, inasmuch as
47 only three members of the Council were in attendance and the vote
48 on the motion was two to one, it was necessary to reconsider the
49 matter when a majority vote (3 votes) of the Council could be
50 attained as required by law. Councilman Shafter explained that his
51 dissenting vote was based on the fact that the Council should be
52 consistent in responding to similar requests from citizens and
53 inasmuch as the policy to use coordinates in designating streets has
54 been maintained and other requests have been denied, he felt that
55 the Council should be consistent. Councilman Gramoll reviewed that
56 when this request was discussed in the Traffic Safety Committee, it
57 was the Committee's recommendation that the City policy of using the

1 coordinate system of designating streets be followed and that the
2 request be denied and he is supportive of that recommendation.

3

4 A lengthy discussion followed, with Mr. Eubank and Barry
5 Nielson, residents on 1375 East, pointing out that most of the
6 streets in their area are designated by name and there is confusion
7 from the fact that there are two 1375 East streets (one off Granada
8 Hills Drive and the other off Vineyard Drive). It was also noted
9 that when their property is subdivided, 1375 East Street will have
10 to continue in a different direction, necessitating that it be a
11 named street instead of a numbered street. Councilman Barton made
12 the motion that the request to add Thunder Mountain Road as a second
13 designation on 1375 East Street be approved. This motion died for
14 lack of a second.

15

16 Mr. Balling explained that when a subdivision plat is recorded,
17 the utility companies, post office, emergency services, etc. are
18 notified. If the name were changed as requested at this time, it
19 would be necessary for the City to provide notice to these entities,
20 resulting in additional time and expense. This led to discussion
21 as to whether or not 1375 East Street was a subdivision, and it was
22 affirmed that it is a dedicated road extension which has not been
23 filed with the County as a subdivision plat. Mr. Nielson said he
24 had received correspondence from Mr. Boothe at the time the street
25 was improved and that he had been denied the opportunity to name
26 the street at that time. Inasmuch as there was question as to the
27 circumstances existing when the street was extended, this matter was
28 tabled to permit bringing the referenced letter to the Council for
29 consideration. (See page 4)

30

31 **APPROVAL GIVEN FOR COMPUTER UPGRADE**

32 Mr. Hardy reviewed that the possibility of upgrading the System
33 38 computer had been discussed in the July 13th meeting and
34 discussion had been continued to permit (1) study of the financing
35 if the upgrade were approved, and (2) the feasibility of setting up
36 a reserve for replacement fund for computer hardware. Mr. Hardy
37 reviewed that there will be a surplus of over \$200,000 in the 1987-
38 88 budget resulting from an increase of revenues of approximately
39 \$100,000 and a decrease in expenditures of about the same amount.
40 Mayor Stahle reviewed that the benefit of upgrading at this time
41 would be to save in maintenance costs over the next five years and
42 receive a trade-in allowance on our present system. The approximate
43 cost to upgrade at this time would be \$100,000 (\$167,000 less trade-
44 in of \$67,000). Inasmuch as the Information Systems department
45 provides computer service to all other City departments, Mr. McRae
46 suggested that a reserve for replacement fund would be singling out
47 a particular kind of equipment in the general fund and would not be
48 in keeping with current City policy for equipment replacement in the
49 General Fund. Following discussion, Councilman Shafter made the
50 motion that the money be allocated to permit the computer upgrade
51 at this time (to a Application System 400). Councilman Barton
52 seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

53

54

55

BIDS FOR STREET DEPARTMENT EQUIPMENT.**APPROVED**

Mr. Duncan presented the results of the bid opening which was held July 7, 1988 and recommended that the bids be awarded as follows:

Cab & Chassis Single Axle	\$38,537.59	Rick Warner Ford
Tandem Truck	49,981.00	Mack Truck, Inc.
10-Foot Dump Bed	10,700.00	Fruehauf Division
15-Foot Dump Bed	13,273.00	Holland Equipment
10-Foot Sander	4,406.00	Fruehauf Division
13-Foot Sander	4,860.00	Fruehauf Division
Snow Plow (3)	7,710.00	Fruehauf Division

Councilman Gramoll expressed appreciation for the bid tabulations which were presented and made the motion that the bids be awarded as recommended, totaling \$129,467.59. (The total amount budgeted was \$137,800.00). Councilman Shafter seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

**ROSS EQUIPMENT AWARDED BID FOR
AIR COMPRESSOR FOR FIRE DEPARTMENT**

Richard Hales reported that bids were opened on July 18th for a new air compressor which will be used for filling the air packs of the Fire Department. He said it is the staff's recommendation that the bid be awarded to Ross Equipment (American Bristol) in the amount of \$15,032.00. He noted that this includes testing equipment. Councilman Barton made the motion that this recommendation be approved, Councilwoman Southwick seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

**SIGHT CLEARANCE ORDINANCE DISCUSSED;
TABLED FOR FURTHER STUDY**

Mr. Mahan explained that the present ordinance dealing with sight clearance provides that a corner lot must have a 30-ft. triangular area which is not blocked by trees. However, shrubs, hedges, etc. are not mentioned and it makes the ordinance difficult to enforce. Therefore, it is proposed that the ordinance be revised to be more specific and make it easier to enforce. He reviewed the proposed changes to the ordinance, following which Councilwoman Holt mentioned that she had driven through a section of the City to determine the affect the proposed changes would have. She noted that there are a great number of properties which would be in violation of the proposed ordinance and it would be difficult to fairly enforce it. Discussion followed regarding the purpose of the ordinance and the impact it would have on existing properties. Mr. Mahan pointed out that the Supreme Court has ruled that it is non-discriminatory and constitutional to enforce on a complaint basis (as compared to a patrol basis), and the ordinance could be used for enforcement as needed. Councilman Gramoll made the comment that sight distance should be defined in such a manner that it is measurable and he recommended that action on the proposed ordinance be tabled to permit further refinement. Councilwoman Holt seconded the motion which carried unanimously. At the suggestion of Mr.

1 Hardy, it was decided that this item will be included on next week's
2 field trip.

3
4 **COUNCIL DENIES REQUEST OF MARK EUBANK**
5 **ON STREET NAME CHANGE**

6 At this time Mr. Eubank and Mr. and Mrs. Nielson returned to
7 the meeting with documentation regarding the extension of their
8 street, 1375 East. After reviewing the documents, Mr. Balling
9 explained that the street was improved so that building lots could
10 front on it; however, it was not recorded as part of a subdivision,
11 but is described on a metes and bounds basis. There was also a
12 letter denying a request to change the name of the street to "Snowy
13 Lane". The matter was discussed further and Mayor Stahle asked
14 about the possibility of putting the sign back up which Mr. Eubank
15 had made several years ago (which has both the name "Thunder
16 Mountain Road" and "1375 East" on it) without official action by the
17 Council. This was considered briefly; however, it was noted that
18 the City has the responsibility to use the coordinate system and
19 properly maintain and oversee street signage. Councilman Barton
20 again made a motion for approval of the request of Mr. Eubank; the
21 motion died for lack of a second, resulting in denial of the
22 request.

23
24 **DOWNTOWN MAIN STREET CHANGE ORDERS**
25 **DISCUSSED BY MR. BALLING**

26 Mr. Balling presented a handout to the Council on the Change
27 Orders which have been submitted by downtown contractor, M.C. Green
28 and Sons, Inc. He reviewed the amount requested, whether or not it
29 was approved, and the dollar amount of the approval. At this point
30 of the contract (\$705,000.00) there is an overage of approximately
31 5.4% in Change Orders. A motion was made to approve the Change
32 Orders; however, Councilman Gramoll reminded that these requests are
33 to be approved or disapproved at the discretion of the staff and he
34 suggested that it was not proper for the Council to vote. The
35 motion was then withdrawn.

36
37 **ENGAGEMENT LETTER - GRANT THORNTON & CO -**
38 **APPROVED**

39 Mr. Hardy presented the Engagement Letter from Grant Thornton
40 and Company, independent auditors for the Council, and reviewed that
41 the standard letter provides for the City to use the services of the
42 auditing firm during the upcoming year. Councilman Gramoll referred
43 to a penalty which the subject firm recently was assessed due to not
44 conforming with proper accounting principles, and he asked if other
45 firms had been considered. Mr. Hardy said that the City has used
46 Grant Thornton for seven years and if the Council so wishes, a
47 change could be made. Following a brief discussion, Councilman
48 Gramoll made the motion that Grant Thornton and Company be approved
49 for this fiscal year; however, consideration be given next year to
50 re-bid the City's audit. This recommendation was based on the fact
51 that it is healthy and often cost-effective to make changes
52 periodically. Councilman Shafter seconded the motion which carried
53 unanimously.

54
55

1 **MISCELLANEOUS**

2 Mayor Stahle mentioned that occasionally there are changes
3 which the council members would like to see implemented. He
4 stressed that the changes should be the result of a motion, second
5 and vote by the entire council and not pressure from individual
6 council members.

7
8 **REVIEW CITY HALL EXPANSION PROGRAM**

9 This item will be carried forward to another meeting.

10
11 **REQUEST BY BOUNTIFUL RV TO USE**

12 **400 NORTH PARK DENIED**

13 Mr. Hardy reported that a request has come from Bountiful RV
14 to use the 400 North Park for a demonstration area. He noted that
15 in the past the policy has been for the City parks to not be used
16 for commercial purposes. He said that he explained this policy to
17 the business representative, however, a request was made for the
18 matter to be presented before the Council. The Council concurred
19 that the policy should still stand and resulting in the request
20 being denied.

21
22 The meeting adjourned at 9:12 p.m. on a motion made by
23 Councilman Gramoll and seconded by Councilman Shafter.

24
25 
26 _____
27 MAYOR

28
29 
30 _____
31 CITY RECORDER

32 * * * * *

33
34