

1 Minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council held
2 October 18, 1989 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the City
3 Hall, Bountiful, Utah.
4

5 Present: Mayor: Dean S. Stahle
6 Council Members: C. Harold Shafter, Barbara
7 Holt, H. Keith Barton and Bob
8 Gramoll
9 City Manager: Tom Hardy
10 City Attorney: Layne B. Forbes
11 City Engineer: Jack P. Balling
12 City Recorder: Arden F. Jenson
13 Dep. Recorder: Lois Hoskins
14 Planning Director: Jon Reed Boothe
15 Rec. Secretary: Nancy T. Lawrence
16
17 Absent: Councilman: J. Dean Hill
18

19 Official Notice of this meeting had been given by posting a
20 written notice of same and an Agenda at the City Hall and providing
21 copies to the following newspapers of general circulation: Davis
22 County Clipper, Deseret News, and Salt Lake Tribune.
23

24 Mayor Stahle called the meeting to order and welcomed those
25 in attendance. He then led the pledge of allegiance to the flag,
26 after which Councilwoman Holt offered the invocation.
27

28 Minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council held
29 October 11, 1989 were presented and unanimously approved as amended
30 on a motion made by Councilman Barton and seconded by Councilman
31 Gramoll.
32

33 **EXPENDITURE AND EXPENSE REPORT APPROVED FOR**
34 **PERIOD OCTOBER 1-12, 1989**

35 Mr. Jenson presented the Expenditure and Expense Report for
36 the Period October 1-12, 1989 with expenditures totalling
37 \$134,832.52. He responded to questions from the council, following
38 which these expenditures were unanimously approved on a motion made
39 by Councilwoman Holt and seconded by Councilman Shafter.
40

41 **TRAFFIC SAFETY COMMITTEE ITEMS PRESENTED**

42 Mayor Stahle reviewed that the Traffic Safety Committee
43 (chaired by Councilman Gramoll) has extensively studied several
44 requests which have been presented for crossing guards and flashing
45 yellow lights for school pedestrian crossings. Input has been
46 provided by the Engineering and Police departments, as well as
47 local PTA and school officials. Mr. Balling then presented the
48 following recommendations from the Traffic Safety Committee, based
49 on warrants established by the Utah Department of Transportation:
50

51 a. **Request for Crossing Guard, 1000 East and 400 North**
52 **(Hannah Holbrook School):** Based on the number of students that
53 cross this street, and the average speed of the vehicles, it is the
54 recommendation of the Committee that this request be approved.
55 Councilman Gramoll made a motion for approval, as recommended by
56 the Committee. Councilwoman Holt seconded the motion and voting
57 was unanimous.
58

1 b. Request for Flashing Yellow Lights, 250 North and 400 East
2 (Hannah Holbrook School): Based on the accident history at the
3 intersection (none in five years), the average speed of the traffic,
4 and the fact that there is a signalized intersection within 600 feet
5 of the location of the requested lights, it is the recommendation of
6 the Committee that this request be denied. Councilwoman Holt made a
7 motion to uphold the Committee recommendation, Councilman Gramoll
8 seconded the motion and voting was unanimous. It was noted that
9 there is a crossing guard at this intersection.

10
11 c. Request for Flashing Yellow Lights, 1500 South and Davis
12 Blvd. (Valley View School): Based on the volume of traffic, average
13 speed, and accident record, none of which meet the warrant for the
14 flashing lights; and the fact that a crossing guard has recently been
15 assigned to this intersection, it is the recommendation of the
16 Committee that this request be denied. Councilman Barton made the
17 motion that the request be denied, Councilwoman Holt seconded the
18 motion and voting was unanimous.

19
20 Councilman Shafter commented that he felt the speed study for
21 this and all other intersections studied might be skewed due to the
22 recent death of a student pedestrian, and he recommended that traffic
23 speed studies at school crossings be conducted again in the near
24 future (4-6 months) to determine if drivers are still maintaining the
25 desired slow speeds.

26
27 d. Request for Crossing Guard, 2250 South 400 East (Muir
28 Elementary): Although this crossing location does not meet any of
29 the warrants tested, the crossing is located in a gully with poor
30 sight visibility from both directions. Based on these geometrics, it
31 is the recommendation of the Committee that this request be approved.
32 Councilman Gramoll made a motion to this effect, Councilman Shafter
33 seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

34
35 Mr. Balling also noted that the signage will be upgraded for this
36 location at this time also, with the school sign being placed at the
37 top of the hill.

38
39 e. Request for Crossing Guard, 700 East 400 North: Based on
40 the traffic volume, the average speed, number of students crossing
41 (8), and the accident history of the intersection, the Committee
42 recommended that this request be denied. It was noted that there is
43 a crossing guard at 1000 East and students should be encouraged to
44 use that crossing. Councilman Shafter made a motion that this
45 request be denied as recommended, Councilman Barton seconded the
46 motion and voting was unanimous. Councilman Barton mentioned that
47 there is undeveloped land in this area, and when development occurs,
48 perhaps this request should be considered again.

49
50 f. Request for Crossing Guard, 250 North and 1000 East: The
51 traffic study of this area revealed that approximately half of the
52 total traffic is parents coming to and from school (as revealed by a
53 comparative week-day and week-end study), and inasmuch as the volume
54 of traffic does not meet the warrant for a crossing guard, control in
55 this area should be handled by the principal and teachers through an
56 education program with parents and students. It was suggested that

1 there be no stopping or parking immediately in front of the school
2 and no parking on the rest of the street in an effort to make the
3 school more visible. It is the recommendation of the Committee that
4 this request be denied. Councilwoman Holt made the motion that the
5 Committee's recommendation be upheld, and that the City paint the
6 curbs to enforce the No Stopping and Parking as mentioned.
7 Councilman Shafter seconded the motion which carried unanimously.
8

9 Councilman Shafter questioned whether any of the areas discussed
10 above are lacking sidewalks on one or both sides of the street. He
11 recommended that a study be made throughout the city of all school
12 areas and that future capital improvement projects include the
13 construction of sidewalks for any school areas where sidewalks are
14 missing.
15

16 Mayor Stahle expressed appreciation to the Traffic Safety
17 Committee and the Police and Engineering departments for the time and
18 effort which has been given to the above requests.
19

20 **MARION WILLEY FORD AND A.G. TRUCK EQUIPMENT AWARDED**
21 **BIDS FOR NEW TRUCK AND SERVICE BODY FOR WATER DEPT.**

22 Mr. Hardy reported that bids were requested from seven vendors
23 for a new truck and three vendors for the truck body for the Water
24 Department. He reviewed the bid tabulation and reported that it is
25 the staff recommendation that the low bid from Murdock Chevrolet for
26 a 3/4-ton pickup, including the option for a rear axle locking
27 differential, be approved in the amount of \$12,304.19, and that the
28 low bid for the service body from A. G. Truck Equipment Company in
29 the amount of \$2,531.00 be approved. The budget for these two items
30 is \$14,700.00 and he said the difference can be made up by deletion
31 of another budget item.
32

33 Mr. Hardy explained that in the past the City has exercised the
34 right to purchase from local vendors through a 5 percent local
35 preferential clause, and he noted that the bid from Marion Willey
36 Ford (\$12,913.00) is exactly 5 percent over the Murdock Chevrolet
37 bid. Councilman Shafter made the motion that the bid be awarded to
38 Marion Willey Ford and A. G. Truck Equipment Company. Councilman
39 Barton seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.
40

41
42 **CONCEPTUAL APPROVAL GIVEN TO DRAFT AGREEMENT**
43 **WITH WEST BOUNTIFUL, REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT**

44 Mr. Hardy reviewed the Draft Agreement between Bountiful, West
45 Bountiful, and the Redevelopment Agencies of both cities for the
46 proposed redevelopment project at 500 South 500 West. He presented
47 several amendments to the draft and recommended that conceptual
48 approval only be given to the agreement, as amended. Discussion
49 focused on the standard which would be required for landscape
50 requirements and it was the consensus of the group that property
51 within the City of Bountiful will fall under Bountiful landscape
52 requirements and it is hoped that West Bountiful will adopt a 10
53 percent landscape requirement and 20-ft. setback requirement,
54 inasmuch as the geographics of the project make it appear to be in
55 the city of Bountiful and a good image is desired. Scott Kjar and
56 Steve Smoot, developers, were in attendance and participated in the

1 discussion. Councilman Shafter made the motion that conceptual
2 approval be given to the Agreement, subject to amendments as proposed
3 by Mr. Hardy, and with the stipulation that formal approval not be
4 given until after the blight study and formal hearing on the
5 formation of the project have been completed. Councilman Barton
6 seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

7
8 **APPROVE IN LIEU OF TAX AGREEMENT = MORGAN COUNTY**

9 This agenda item was tabled for one week.

10
11 **"BUY BOUNTIFUL" PROGRAM FOR DOWNTOWN AND**
12 **5-POINTS MERCHANTS PRESENTED BY JEFF BERNSON**

13 Jeff Bernson, Five Points Mall, explained that the 5-Points
14 merchants will be working together with the downtown merchants in an
15 effort to stimulate business. He said they would like to include a
16 brochure or flyer in the utility bills which are sent out by
17 Bountiful and requested that the \$.01 charge attached to this service
18 be waived. He said this service would probably be used 2-3 times a
19 year. He also requested that the merchants could use the banner
20 across the street, without paying the \$25.00 installation charge, and
21 that special permission be given to leave the banner up longer than
22 the present 10-day maximum period. The Council expressed pleasure
23 that the two groups of merchants were working together and indicated
24 a willingness on the part of the City to assist in the stimulation of
25 local business. However, concern was rendered on the precedent which
26 might be set by waiving fees and changing policies for this group.

27
28 Following discussion, Councilman Gramoll made the motion that the
29 City support the effort of the merchants to stimulate business by
30 providing the funds for the banner installation (\$25) and the mailing
31 (approximately \$150.00) on a one-time basis, and that this be funded
32 from the Council contingency fund. Councilman Barton seconded the
33 motion. Councilman Barton asked if the funds could come from the
34 money which was allocated for advertising for the city RDA or the
35 special projects fund. He also asked if the Chamber of Commerce had
36 been contacted for assistance and Mr. Bernson said that the Chamber
37 typically does not become involved if the program does not include
38 all South Davis communities. Councilman Barton noted that a majority
39 of the Chamber's membership is from Bountiful which would justify
40 their support.

41
42 In response to the request to keep the banner up longer than 10
43 days, Councilman Barton pointed out that most events for which the
44 banner are used are for a specific date, and inasmuch as this would
45 be for a general nature, it would be reasonable to leave it up longer
46 if there were no other requests for the banner facilities. No
47 official action was taken regarding the time limit of the banner, and
48 the motion for financial relief carried unanimously.

49
50 **PROGRESS REPORT ON ORCHARD DRIVE PROJECT**

51 Mr. Balling referred to a letter which was sent to the State
52 Department of Transportation and the effort which has been made to
53 push this project along. He requested input from the Council on
54 whether or not the third phase of the project should be started,
55 recognizing that if the weather is not cooperative, the street could
56 be left in an unfinished condition through the winter. He said the

1 contractor feels he could finish the 3rd phase (1500 South to 1800
 2 South Orchard Drive) in three weeks. The alternative would be to
 3 pave the existing utility trench so that the street could be used and
 4 wait until spring to complete the road. After discussing the pros
 5 and cons, it was the consensus of the Council to move forward with
 6 the 3rd phase, recognizing that there will need to be a high level of
 7 cooperation between the City, the State and the contractor.

8

9 The meeting adjourned at 8:32 p.m. on a motion made by Councilman
 10 Gramoll and seconded by Councilman Barton.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



MAYOR



CITY RECORDER