Minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council held June 20, 1990 at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the City Hall, Bountiful, Utah.

Present:	Mayor:	Bob Linnell
	Council Members:	Rene Coon, Leslie T. Foy, Bob Gramoll, Barbara Holt, and Harold Shafter
	City Manager:	Tom Hardy
	City Attorney:	Layne B. Forbes Asst.
	City Engineer:	Paul C. Rowland
	City Recorder:	Arden F. Jenson
	City Treasurer:	Ira H. Todd
	Planning Director:	Jon Reed Boothe
	Rec. Secretary:	Ellen H. Call
	Department Heads:	Neal Jenkins, Parks /Recreation
		Dick Duncan, Streets
		Clifford Michaelis, Power
		Larry Higgins, Poli.ce
		Jerry Lemon, Fire

Absent: City Engineer: Jack P. Balling

Official notice of this meeting had been given by posting a written notice of same and an Agenda at the City Hall and providing copies to the following newspapers of general circulation: DDavis County Clipper, Deseret News, and Salt Lake Tribune.

Mayor Linnell called the meeting to order, stating that the pledge of allegiance to the flag and the invocation were given at the beginning of the Redevelopment Agency budget meeting which was held preceding this meeting.

Minutes of the special meeting of the City Council held June 5, 1990 were presented and unanimously approved as corrected on a motion made by Councilman Foy and seconded by Councilwoman Coon. Minutes of the regular meeting of the City Council held June 6, 1990 were presented and unanimously approved as corrected on a motion made by Councilman Shafter and seconded by Councilwoman Holt.

EXPENDITURES AND EXPENSES APPROVED FOR
PERIODS MAY 30-31, 1990, JUNE 1-14, 1990 AND SUMMARY FOR MAY, 1990

Mr. Jenson presented the Expenditure and Expense Report for the period May 30-31, 1990 in the amount of $215,885.64 and reviewed the Summary of Expenditures for May, totaling $2,029,366.18. He also presented the Expenditure and Expense Report for the period June I- 14, 1990 in the amount of $72,195.20. Councilman Gramoll made the motion that these expenditures be approved; Councilman Foy seconded the motion and the voting was unanimous.

ELECTRICAL SERVICE POLICIES AND SCHEDULES REVIEWED AND ADOPTED

Mr. Michaelis referred to the draft which was supplied to each of the Council members, highlighting the proposed policies and schedules to be implemented with the adoption of the new

power rates. The changes have previously been reviewed with the Council, and the budget is now being presented for approval to set the rate schedules as written within the service policies. The deposit for new customers coming on to the system will still be collected up front at the time the customer takes out the service agreement. The amount of deposit will remain the same, but an increased deposit could be required if the customer has a poor payment record. This deposit would not exceed an estimated three-month bill.

Councilman Shafter noted that a requirement for GFI breakers on temporary connections has not been included and recommended that it be added. Mr. Michaelis assured him this would be done. Councilman Gramoll made a motion that the new policies and schedules be adopted; the motion was seconded by Councilman Shafter and the voting was unanimous.

Mr. Hardy made reference to the Council's concern for the owners of all-electric homes and to the suggestions made by citizen Dave Piggott for alleviating the burden placed on these homeowners with the increased power rates. He stated he felt that the City has an obligation to pursue ideas and recommendations, and he and Mr. Michaelis propose obtaining the services of a consultant to review all possibilities. The review will be made after the colder months begin, and results will be open for public meeting. Councilman Gramoll suggested for review also the possibility of a 20% surcharge for electrical homes versus standard gas-heated homes, for comparison purposes. Councilman Shafter asked that a firm date be set for this review, and it was determined that the Wednesday after the October Power Commission meeting would be the logical date: Third Wednesday, October 17, 1990.

COUNCIL ACCEPTS LOW BID FOR 1990 CURB, GUTTER AND SIDEWALK CONSTRUCTION THROUGHOUT THE CITY

Paul Rowland reported on the bid opening for the 1990 curb, gutter, and sidewalk replacement program. Included in this bid are the widening of 500 South from 100 to 400 East; sidewalk, curb and gutter, and storm drain facilities on State Road 106 from Slim Olsen's to 1800 South; the extension of Davis Boulevard across Hooper Draw; the widening of 400 North from Davis Boulevard to 1250 East; the addition of curb and gutter around the Recreation Center parking lot; miscellaneous crossings; and various curb, gutter, and sidewalk replacements on a 50/50 participation basis with homeowners.

There were four bidders (M. C. Green, JMR Construction, Jed Taylor Contracting, and Workman Construction), with Workman Construction submitting the low bid of $362,283.50. Mr. Rowland stated he had reviewed the bids and had discussed the project with the contractor with respect to their ability to do the work, and it was his recommendation that the bid be awarded to Workman Construction.

Councilman Gramoll questioned the contractor's no-bid response on f our bid items. Mr. Rowland explained that these items were reviewed with the contractor. The first two are removal items, and this cost has been included in the reconstruction price. Any additional removal that would be done without consideration of reconstruction would be done at a zero price. The pipe in question would be used in one location only (State Road 106) and would be

poly or non-reinforced concrete pipe. The bid did not specif y which would be used. If it is non-

reinforced concrete pipe, it would have to be supplied at "no price" as specified in Workman's bid. Councilman Gramoll asked if the contract would be written up to include these non-bid items, and he recommended a letter or statement to that ef f ect be supplied with the contract. Mr. Rowland stated that he will see that a letter is provided, as requested. He also assured the Council that all of the contractors who submitted bids are reputable and would be able to handle the work.. Workman's bid of $362,283.50 was unanimously accepted on a motion by Councilman Shafter, seconded by Councilwoman Holt.

TRANSFER OF CLASS "All BEER LICENSE FOR ALBERTSON'S FOOD CENTER APPROVED

Reed Boothe reported that a request had been made by Albertsons to transfer their present Class "All beer license from their existing store on 535 South Main to their new store at 155 West 500 South, effective upon the grand opening which is set for June 27. Chief Higgins recommends approval of this transfer. Councilman Foy made a motion that this request be granted, seconded by Councilwoman Coon, and the voting was unanimous.

COUNCIL GRANTS FINAL APPROVAL OF MAPLE HILLS SUBDIVISION, PLAT 6

Reed Boothe reviewed the plat of Maple Hills Subdivision No. 6, consisting of two lots on the east side of Bountiful Boulevard. He recommended approval subject to the stipulations as outlined by the Planning Commission in their meeting of June 5, 1990:

(1) The city to deed back to the developer the slope deed which was given to Bountiful City to construct Bountiful Boulevard;
(2) Developer to pay for the water line which was installed by the city ($4,756.00);
(3) Developer to pay for the curb and gutter that was installed by the city ($3,060.20);
(4) Developer to pay all other fees as outlined in the subdivision development letter of June 5, 1990;
(5) Developer to provide the city with a current title report showing clear title to all public properties;
(6) Developer to pay a storm detention fee of $1,932.00 in lieu of providing for storm detention.

Final approval of Maple Hills Subdivision Plat 6 was unanimously approved as stipulated above on a motion by Councilman Foy, seconded by Councilman Gramoll.

COUNCIL GRANTS FINAL APPROVAL OF CANYON OAKS SUBDIVISION

Reed Boothe stated that the Planning commission recommends final approval to the Canyon Oaks Subdivision, subject to the conditions outlined in their meeting on June 5, 1990, and one other condition:

(1) Compliance to all engineering conditions as outlined in the final review letter of June 4, 1990;
(2) Posting a $248,673 bond to guarantee the improvements;
(3) Payment of all subdivision fees in the amount of $84,682.65;
(4) Submission of an engineering soils stability report for the lots that back onto Mill Creek ravine;

(5) Providing a title report to show clear title on all public properties within the subdivision plat;

(6) Storm drain fee of $2,100 per acre (fee should be reduced for the 7 lots that back onto Mill Creek to 10,000sq. ft. per lot, as the majority of these lots will remain in an unused condition);

(7) Lots 15, 16, 19, and 29 be allowed to have a 20-foot front yard setback.

Additional: The subdivision ordinance requires that all lots have legal frontage on dedicated streets. Don Christensen's home is adjacent to Lot 19, and his lot frontage was lost with the development of the subdivision. (The home was built 20-25 years ago.) It is recommended that he keep Lot 20 as his legal frontage.

Councilman Gramoll asked why a reduction should be granted on lots going down into the canyon (Item #6). He questioned whether a future yard development might go down the slope, or if there were any possibility that the area would ever be developed. Tom Hardy stated that slippage would occur and he believes there would never be development on the slope. He also stated that if this portion of the property were deeded to the City, it would be taken off the tax rolls and the people would be denied access down to the creek.

The Planning Commission's recommendation to approve the subdivision as outlined and with the addition of the staff recommendation was unanimously approved on a motion by Councilman Shafter, seconded by Councilwoman Holt.

ORDINANCE APPROVAL CHART

Mayor Linnell stated that the staff will continue to operate under the ordinance Approval Chart, which outlines the responsibilities of the Council, the Planning Commission, the Board of Adjustments and the staff.

PROPOSAL FOR OLYMPIC ICE SHEET

Tom Hardy reported that the architect's rendering for the proposed ice sheet to present to the Utah Sports Authority was at the photographer's being copied.

On June 15 the City submitted 25 copies of the proposal to the State. The Technical Advisory Committee visited the city on Monday morning to look at the site and review the proposal. Mr. Hardy reported that he feels we have an excellent proposal which should compare favorably with the other three submittals: Ogden, Salt Lake City (Guardsman Way) and UVCC/City of Orem. He stated that he feels ours is superior because:

(1) We already have a developed premier ice sports facility which is home to various ice organizations and skaters;

(2) Utah does not presently have an ice sheet dedicated to olympics training. our facility could be dedicated to this program;

(3) We could attract olympic-caliber coaches;

(4) We could provide significant benefits because of our already- established facilities and common areas.

It is estimated that Bountiful City could operate this facility with a $100,000/year subsidy

from the Utah Sports Authority.

There will be a meeting in Bountiful on June 28 with the Utah Sports Authority, and on July 10 the Utah Sports Authority will meet, after having visited all of the sites, and will make their site selection.

Councilman Gramoll asked that the Council members be provided with a copy of the proposal as submitted, and Tom Hardy said this would be supplied. Mr. Hardy then outlined the conditions as given in the proposal:

-- Bountiful will deed only the footprint of the land and no more land to the State. Bountiful would enter into access agreements, whereby the State could gain access to the facility and parking agreements whereby they could use our parking facilities.

-- In terms of financial commitment, it is estimated that Bountiful can operate the facility and rent out ice time so there would be no additional cost to the citizens, provided the $100,000 subsidy is granted by the Utah Sports Authority.

-- The location would be immediately south of the existing facility, and one of the costs associated with the acquisition of land would be the relocation of boweries and restrooms now existing in that area.

Councilman Shafter voiced his opposition to the fact that Salt Lake City is bidding on each one of the proposals, when the Olympics bid is a state-wide proposition and was supported and backed by all areas within the state. Mr. Hardy stated that he felt the committee would try to distribute the venue sites as equitably as possible.

Mr. Hardy answered Councilwoman Holt's question on ownership by reiterating that Bountiful would deed the footprint only to the State. our proposal would be to enter into a long-term lease management contract with the Utah Sports Authority. It is unknown what the other bidders are proposing, other than Salt Lake will apparently deed the footprint but the state will be allowed to build as and where they desire and will operate it independently. Orem's facility would be a part of a multi-purpose facility, dependent on state funding that has not yet been appropriated. Ogden has a vacant piece of land where the state can erect a facility according to their desires. Councilwoman Holt also questioned the City's ability to operate the facility with the $100,000 subsidy, and was assured by Neal Jenkins that this was possible.

PUBLIC HEARING AND 1990-91 BUDGET ADOPTED THROUGH RES. 90-3

Mr. Hardy presented the budget for the 1990-91 fiscal year at $23,341,675, compared with last year's budget of $20,874,716. Items of increase are $1.6 million in additional power resource costs and $800,000 of one-time revenues and expenses received from the Federal Government to be applied toward a new maintenance facility. There is no proposed increase in property taxes for the ninth time in the past ten years. There was an increase in 1983, which was a special, one-time levy on property taxes for flood recovery, but this was taken off the following year. People will be paying less in property tax than they did ten years ago. There is no proposed increase in water rates, which have remained stable since 1985. The sewer rates were modified last year, and garbage fees, which have not been increased since 1984, are now lower than any other city in Davis County.

The budget contemplates a 14% increase in electric rates to compensate for the expected 28% increase in Colorado River storage project power and other power projects. This will be the first increase in four years, and will still be 25% lower than UP&L.

The budget includes a 4% cost-of -living adjustment for employees, an increase in Council compensation from $300 to $500 per month (first raise in eight years) , the addition of a police K-9 program, and contracting with the county for animal control services. The budget is presented with the assumption that the sales tax on food will not be repealed. Should it pass, it will negatively impact the City $400,000 to $500,000 per year on a full-year basis (will not take effect until mid-year) . No additional personnel is budgeted for this year. The City has fewer full-time employees in 1990-91 (165) than were in the budget for 1980-81 (210).

Capital expenditures budgeted for 1990-91 include:

- Construction of City maintenance facility	$2,600,000
- Completion of Pineview Hydroelectric Project	2,200,000
- Expanding/upgrading existing electrical substations	1,000,000
- Replacing used Fire, Police, Street, and Parks Department equipment	464,000
- Four major road improvement projects	385,000
- Reconstruction and overlay of 11.5 miles of City streets	300,000
- one-half cost of new aerial ladder truck	250,000

Councilman Gramoll complimented the department heads and Mr. Hardy for their efforts in keeping the finances in line, and expressed his support of the budget as presented. At 7:55 p.m. Mayor Linnell opened the hearing to the public for comments or questions.

Sam Neslen of 1492 East 1200 South commented on the Pineview Hydroelectric Project and upgrading of the substations: (1) Are benefits derived reflected in the budget? (2) Can we anticipate a reduction next year because of this? (3) Have you considered Deseret Power? Mr. Michaelis responded to the questions in order: (1) The benefits are included in the budget and we hope to begin takinq power from that project in the spring of 1991. (2) Costs of upgrading substations is in this year's budget, and when it is completed there should be a reduction in budget. (3) The City has purchased a line from Deseret Power and is also purchasing power at an even lower cost from Idaho Power.

At Councilman Shafter's suggestion, Mr. Michaelis provided further information regarding the upgrading of the substations. For four years the City has been looking for a transformer. The cost of a new one is $600,000 to $800,000, but one was found in Minnesota for under $400,000. It is presently in a re-wind facility in Pocatello and will be delivered some time in July or August, and will carry a new, 2-year warranty.

John O'Hara, 929 South 175 West voiced opposition to the 67% increase in the City Council salaries. Mayor Linnell stated that he was responsible for the increase, and that it was granted after an extensive survey to bring the Council compensation in line with the surrounding

cities. (There is no increase in the Mayor's salary.)

Earl King, 564 East 775 North asked for a breakdown on the golf course fees and cart rental of $606,000. Mr. Hardy responded that the admissions and green fees are projected at $450,000 and cart rental is $156, 000. Mr. King then asked if capital improvements are involved in the $703,000 golf course expenditure budget and if that is the reason for the increase in golf fees. Mr. Jenkins explained that the City is putting away a contingency account for future development. Last year the City completed a golf course expansion project and this year they will be improving the irrigation system from this fund.

Jack Billings, 249 West 1700 South commented on the Council/Mayor salaries. Mayor Linnell clarified the matter by explaining that the Redevelopment Board and City Council are separate as far as budget is concerned.

Pearl Wendel, 175 East 200 South questioned the need of another aerial fire truck when the County Commissioners just approved a new truck for the county. Chief Lemon explained that the City is replacing their old aerial truck, and the county did not purchase an aerial. Also, the county fire station is not in Bountiful City, but the City responds to calls in other cities on a mutual aid program.

David Piggott, 885 Millstream Way first clarified the fact that he did not petition last week regarding total electric homes. (1) He suggested that the City wait until October I to implement the new power rates. (2) He asked if the Council intends to accumulate $47 million as a City reserve fund in order to operate on a pay-as-you- go basis. (He favors bonds for completing new projects.) Mr. Hardy responded to this question by stating that he would rather operate with reserves than with debt. Discussion followed between Mr. Piggott and Mr. Hardy regarding the budget surplus and its projected use and various other budget items. (3) Mr. Piggott questioned the transfer of funds from the golf fund to the recreation fund. Mr. Hardy explained that this was for personnel time. (4) Mr. Piggott expressed a concern that funds were being built up for the arts. (5) He stated that the public should be more interested in participating in t e needs of the Police Department. (6) He questioned the cost of $1.25 for the shop area of the new maintenance facility as being too high. Council- man Gramoll defended the cost as being very realistic.

In answer to a question raised from the floor, Mr. Michaelis explained that the 14% increase in power rates would be effective June I in order to have 12 months of revenue coming in. The increase will not show up on the bills until July, but will be on June's power usage. The public hearing portion of the meeting closed at 8:42 p.m.

Councilwoman Coon commented concerning the opposition raised to the increase in Council wages. She stated that she spends at least 35 hours per week as a member, and feels it is fair compensation for the time given. She also complimented the staff and department heads for their work in preparing the budget. She voiced her concern about charging a 14% increase in power rates before it is charged to the City in October, and stated that she would rather see the rate change become effective in October. She supports the reserve revenue program as discussed earlier.

Councilwoman Holt thanked the staff for their preparation of the budget. She also directed a comment to Mr. Piggott regarding the arts budget. An Arts Council is being formed to generate funds for building an arts center, without looking to the Council to provide funding.

Councilman Shafter added his support for the reserve fund program, and stated that because of this fund, Bountiful City was able to complete many restoration projects immediately after the floods of 1983. As a result of the prevention measures taken in 1983, potential disasters in 1984 were avoided.

Councilman Foy complimented the department heads and predecessors for their foresight and their ability to plan ahead. He also complimented the staff on their ability to save money through careful maintenance of City equipment and renovation of older equipment rather than buying new.

Councilman Gramoll then made the motion to approve the 1990-91 Fiscal Year Budget through the adoption of Res. No. 90-3, to include the contributions from the Light and Power fund, and with the following expenditures:

Legislative	$ 2,760,251
Code Enforcement	38,970
Legal	125,904
Executive & Central Staff	137,324
Information Systems Mgmt (Data Processing)	208,421
Administrative	600,285
General Gov't Buildings	289,424
Police (Incl. Reserves, Liquor, Arrive Alive, E911 and K9)	2,120,591
Fire	1,283,913
Street & Traffic Lighting	343,000
Streets	4,795,251
Engineering	452,493
Parks	334,641
Recreation Subsidy	196,389
Planning	107,143
Debt Service	105,230
Water	1,662,654
Sewer	743,643
Light & Power	15,095,616
Golf Course	704,308
Swimming Pools, Recreation & Ice Arena	1,090,539
Sanitation (includes Landfill)	1,050,500
Cemetery	200,741
Internal Systems (Computer Maint., Liab Ins., Worker's Comp)	638.872
Total (Excludes $11, 743,428 intra-city	

revenue and transfers) $23,341,675

Councilman Foy seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

COUNCIL ADOPTS RES. 90-4, AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN 1989-90 BUDGET -CAPITAL FUND

Mr. Hardy presented Res. 90-4 which provides for opening of the current budget (1989-90) for the purpose of making amendments involving additions and reductions, the net of which is zero, to the General and Capital Fund, and also reductions and additions to the Operating and Capital budgets of the different revenue funds. Councilman Shafter made the motion that Resolution 90-4 be adopted. Councilman Foy seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

COUNCIL ADOPTS RES. 90-5, AUTHORIZATION TO OPEN 1989-90 BUDGET - ENTERPRISE FUND

Councilman Foy made the motion that Res. 90-5 be adopted, with authorization for the Mayor and City Recorder to sign. Councilwoman Holt seconded the motion and voting was unanimous.

COUNCIL APPROVES RES. 90-6 -SETTING TAX RATE FOR 1990-91 FISCAL YEAR

Mr. Hardy presented Res. 90-6 which determines the tax rate and levies taxes upon all real and personal property. In the current fiscal year, the City collected $1,268,000 in real and personal property taxes. The law states that we can collect no more than that amount in the new year, plus any growth in new construction, unless a public hearing is held for a tax increase. The computation received from the County indicates we can collect only $1,220,000. The County shows we have $13,000,000 in new construction, which should generate about $24,000 in additional taxes; therefore, Bountiful City should be entitled to $1,292,000. We budgeted $1,280,000, not knowing exactly what the new construction amount would be. It is recommended that the City adopt a tax rate exactly the same as last year's, .001783. We are opposed to what the County is allowing. Councilman Shafter moved that we adopt the tax rate of .001783, Councilman Gramoll seconded the motion and the voting was unanimous.

UPDATE ON PIPELINE

Mayor Linnell read an article that appeared in the Houston Chronicle regarding the future of the WyCal Pipeline, referring to the "Bountiful Problem", then gave a brief outline of what has transpired since the last Council meeting. He has received a letter from the Forest Service dated June 13, advising that they are proceeding to issue the permit. A letter was also received from the Kern River attorney, stating that they are committed to constructing the Wasatch variation Corridor and to the proposed route. Councilman Foy and Councilwoman Coon flew the route by helicopter yesterday, as did the Mayor, Mr. Hardy, and Mr. Rowland, and it was noted that the staking has been done. Mayor Linnell again offered his reassurance that the pipeline will never enter the city limits of Bountiful, but he reiterated that he once more voice opposition to the Wasatch Variation.

In the event the pipeline goes through as planned, the City has the assurance that there will be total mitigation where the line crosses canyons, streams, etc., and anything the City desires to have done to protect our interests will be completed without expense to the City. If

WyCal is able to get the Grandview Peak alternative approved through FERC, it will seriously be considered.

Mayor Linnell stated his recommendation is to continue our opposition to the Wasatch Variation, but to continue to meet with both pipelines to mitigate the impact as much as possible in the event it goes through. He further suggested that Mr. Hardy attend the Forest Service meeting next Tuesday and have the Forest Service clarify which route they approve. It is our contention that on the route they provided a few weeks ago (WyCal) , it would leave them within the corridor of Grandview Peak. If they will agree that it is an approved route, it would give us an opportunity to get the pipeline moved to a modified Grandview Peak route and out of Holbrook Canyon and Mueller Park. The Mayor also emphasized the fact that there is no evidence of corruption of any government body or public official or compromising by any person in regard to this project.

Councilwoman Coon reported that the map she was shown does indicate the proposed route going through the city limits. She asked if the pipeline company has applied for an amendment to the Grandview Peak alternative, and Mr. Hardy answered that he did not know. She stated her opinion that if the City is going to f orce an amended route, we should f orce them to amend it to take the Chevron Oil Eastern Utah route. She has been told by the Administrator of the Salt Lake City Watersheds and Planning Department that if the route were proposed to go through their watershed, they would adamantly oppose it. She feels we should be just as protective of our watershed and stand firm in our opposition.

Mayor Linnell told Councilwoman Coon that the map she had seen was "centerline -- the centerline touches the southeast corner of the city. The pipeline is east of centerline and there is a one-mile corridor, and therefore the pipeline does not enter the city limits of Bountiful. Also, according to Mayor DePaulis, Salt Lake City is 100% supportive of the pipeline. Councilman Gramoll expressed his feeling that the pipelines have not made application for variation or relocation because the City Council has been unable to come to a unanimous agreement. It is his opinion that the City must move ahead on other business and quit spending so much time on the pipeline issue. It is obvious to him that the Federal Government will ultimately determine the outcome.

A representative from the south end of the county and sponsor of the resolution passed in the Legislature stated that she feels it is evident that the pipeline will go through Utah. She commended the Mayor, City Council, and staff for their efforts in negotiating with the pipeline companies, and said it is the consensus of opinion in her area that it is obvious the pipeline will go through and every effort should be made to mitigate any possible damages or harmful consequences to the citizens of Bountiful and our watershed. She stated that if there is a remote possibility that the pipeline can be moved to the Grandview Peak area, the Council would be remiss if they did not take advantage of that opportunity.

Councilman Foy made a motion that Mr. Hardy be empowered to work with the Forest Service to see if the window can be extended higher. The move was seconded by Councilwoman Holt.

Councilman Gramoll restated his opinion that the City Council and residents must be unified in their decision in order to make headway with the Federal Government in their recommendation. Councilwoman Coon said she feels as though the Bountiful Hills Residents group has been targeted to take the blame for failure to move the pipeline from its proposed location. Mayor Linnell then called for a vote on the motion. Four voted for, and Councilwoman Coon opposed.

Mayor Linnell asked Paul Rowland if he understood that the pipeline as proposed would be within the city limits. He answered negative.

Mr. Billings asked if we ever did have an opportunity to voice an opinion in the decision. Mr. Hardy explained that the City has opposed the Wasatch Variation from the beginning. However, the Federal Government has the right of eminent domain to allow it to go wherever they wish, and we do not have the power to oppose. This project has been in the works for at least five years and the Forest Service decision was made a long time ago -- as early as 1986 or 1987 -- and the pipeline companies are now obligated to work with the City within the corridor.

On a motion by Councilman Shafter and second by Councilman Foy, the meeting was unanimously adjourned at 9:35 p.m.